

Journal Article Review Process

Mohammed Ali Berawi, M.Eng.Sc, PhD

**Scientific Article Writing Workshop
Faculty of Engineering
Universitas Indonesia
23 September 2019**

Why Publish?

- Disseminate new knowledge
- Improve theory and practice
- Join the scholarly conversation
- Contribute to institution's reputation

Why Refereed International Journals?

- Not commercial: no fees (most of them)
- Status
- More weight
- More rigour
- Reference Point (Researchers refer to)
- Academic Career
- Establish a name and Reputation
- Knowledge dissemination
- Lead to collaboration

Submitting Your Paper

Follow guidelines strictly

Check the sample paper

Publication Process



Review Policies

- Paper submission is assigned by an editor-in-chief/editorial board who is responsible for finding internal/external reviewers (normally 2 to 3).
- After the reviewers weigh in, the editor makes the final decision about the acceptance of the manuscript (the editor normally follows the reviewers' recommendations, but not necessary the case).
- The reviews processes will normally take 3 to 6 months. It is appropriate to contact journal secretariat and kindly ask for an update if you do not hear from them.

Review Process (What Referees Look For)

The main concern of referees

Significance	Are the findings original? Are they important? Is the paper suitable for this journal? Does the article justify its length?
Scholarship	Does the paper take into account relevant current and past research on the topic?
Presentation	Is the paper clear, logical, understandable and of the appropriate length?
Methods and Results	Is the methodology, and are the data and analyses appropriate? Are there sufficient data to support the conclusion? Are there long – term as well as short term measures? Are any weaknesses of the method commented on?
Reasoning	Are the logic, arguments, inferences and interpretations appropriate? Are counter – arguments or contrary evidence taken into account and discussed?
Theory	Is the theory sufficiently sound and supported by the evidence? Is it testable? Is it preferable to competing theories?
Ethics	In papers describing work on animals or humans, has the work been approved by the appropriate ethics committee?

Is it interesting?
Is it new?
Is it true?

Review Results

- **Accepted:** "Which almost nobody gets," ;-)
- **Accepted with revision:** "Just make some changes" and "They're still interested in you!" (the editor and reviewers will list specific items that need to be addressed before final acceptance)
- **Reject:** Fix the problems, revise the paper and submit it to other journal.

Don't put off the revisions

- If you are invited to revise, answer critiques carefully/thoughtfully and pay attention to details.
- Never give up

Review Results

Major reasons for rejection:

- Confirmatory (not novel) - Not sufficiently original
- Theoretically or methodologically flawed
- Poor methodology and/or experimental design that lead to unreliable discussion/results
- Poorly written (paper structure, flow, format, etc)
- Literature/References/Variables are not comprehensive and accurate
- Inappropriate for journal

What do Editor Want

- Important work
- Original work
- Clear and engaging
- Valid/Truth



Scientific Article Writing Hints

- Informative Abstract
- Critical literature review
- Solid research methods
- Robust Data collection and analysis
- Critical Discussion of findings
- Significant contribution
- Conclusions



Short Profile

Mohammed Ali Berawi, M.Eng.Sc, PhD

Dr. Mohammed Ali Berawi is an associate professor at department of civil engineering, Universitas Indonesia. Dr. Berawi's work on value engineering/value management, knowledge and quality management, engineering design, and innovation has led to various publications in books, international journals, conferences, and consultancy proceedings. Dr. Berawi was selected as the most outstanding lecturer/researcher at the University of Indonesia (2009) and was a finalist for the UK Alumni Award (2008) and Toray Science and Technology Award (2014). His research leadership is reflected through his election as Editor-in-Chief of *Value World*, the journal of the Society of American Value Engineers (SAVE) (2008-2014) and as Editor-in-Chief for the *International Journal of Technology (IJTech)*. He also serves as an editorial board member and invited referee for various international journals published in Canada, Ghana, the USA, and the UK.

Dr. Berawi has been involved in many national and international research collaborations and consultancies. He has been listed by Webometrics as one of the Top Scientists in Indonesia (2015-2017), and his biography featured in the 24th edition of *Who's Who in the World*. Dr Berawi was appointed as Lead Advisor to the Republic of Indonesia's Ministry of Transportation (2012), Director of Directorate of Research and Community Services at the University of Indonesia (2015), and currently serves as Director of the Center for Sustainable Infrastructure Development (CSID) FT Universitas Indonesia, Director of ASEAN University Network for Sustainable City and Urban Development (AUN – SCUD), and Chairman of Standing Committee on Infrastructure Strategic Policies for Indonesia Chamber of Commerce (KADIN Indonesia).